Revealing the Machine

A Study of the Rich Header and Respective Malware Triage

George Webster

Technical University of Munich

Specializes in developing scalable methods to perform cyber analytics, dynamic and static analysis techniques, and distributed systems

Julian Kirsch

Technical University of Munich

Specializes in reverse engineering, binary exploitation, and Virtual Machine Introspection

Why Are We Here?



Problem Why Do You Care

Data, Data, Everywhere

How do you:

- Triage?
- Find related data?
- Make sense of everything?





What about this obscure PE32 field?

Overlooked, poorly documented, inaccurate assessments

Rich Header

oked and poorly

Lifier for major and generic library

on information, and compiler flags,

ords the number of times the linker

02

PE32 File Format Compiler Tool Chain

Background



Stub between DOS and COFF header containing two things:

01

DOS program printing "This program cannot be run in DOS mode"

- Documented by Microsoft
- Can be replaced by any valid MS-DOS application using MSVC's /STUB compiler flag

02

RICH header containing unknown bytes terminated by the string "Rich" and a magic number

- Never officially mentioned by Microsoft
- No consistent explanation available

MSVC Compiler Toolchain

Consisting of:

- Command-Line Interpreter
- C/C++ Frontend
- Code Generator
- (Multi Purpose) Linker



Rich Header



What does it contain? What are these @comp.ids? How is it created? How is it extracted?

Obfuscated, Undocumented, Part of the PE Header

Included in MS Toolchain since Visual Studio 6 (1998) and maybe even earlier. First discussed in 2004 and reverse engineered in 2008 by Daniel Pistelli



01

Added by the Microsoft Linker

02

Each iteration of the Microsoft Toolchain adjusts how the Rich Header is generated and updates product mapping

03

Contains information about how the binary was created

PE32 Structure

Let's dive into it!



00000000:	4d	5a	90	00	03	00	00	00	04	00	00	00	ff	ff	00	00	MZ
00000010:	b8	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	40	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	
00000020:	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	
00000030:	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	f0	00	00	00	
00000040:	0e	1f	ba	0e	00	b4	09	cd	21	b8	01	4c	cd	21	54	68	!.L.!Th
00000050:	69	73	20	70	72	6f	67	72	61	6d	20	63	61	6e	6e	6f	is program canno
00000060:	74	20	62	65	20	72	75	6e	20	69	6e	20	44	4f	53	20	t be run in DOS
00000070:	6d	6f	64	65	2e	0d	0d	0a	24	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	mode\$
00000080:	16	f 7	59	40	52	96	37	13	52	96	37	13	52	96	37	13	Y@R.7.R.7.R.7.
00000090:	8f	69	f9	13	50	96	37	13	5f	c4	ea	13	50	96	37	13	.iP.7P.7.
000000a0:	5f	c4	e8	13	50	96	37	13	5f	c4	d7	13	46	96	37	13	F.7.
000000b0:	5f	c4	d6	13	5b	96	37	13	8f	69	fc	13	5b	96	37	13	[.7i[.7.
000000c0:	52	96	36	13	48	97	37	13	5f	c4	de	13	33	96	37	13	R.6.H.73.7.
000000d0:	5f	c4	ec	13	53	96	37	13	5f	c4	e9	13	53	96	37	13	S.7S.7.
000000e0:	52	69	63	68	52	96	37	13	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	RichR.7
000000f0:	50	45	00	00	64	86	06	00	df	ba	90	55	00	00	00	00	PEdU

COFF Header

. . .

Rich Header

Header Structure

00000080: 16 f7 59 40 52 96 37 13 52 96 37 13 52 96 37 13 |...Y@R.7.R.7.R.7.| 5f c4 ea 13 50 96 37 13 00000090: 8f 69 f9 13 50 96 37 13 |.i..P.7._...P.7.| 5f c4 d7 13 000000a0: 5f c4 e8 13 50 96 37 13 46 96 37 13 _...F.7. |_...[.7..i..[.7.| 000000b0: 5f c4 d6 13 5b 96 37 13 8f 69 fc 13 5b 96 37 13 000000c0: 52 96 36 13 48 97 37 13 5f c4 de 13 33 96 37 13 |R.6.H.7._...3.7.| 000000d0: 5f c4 ec 13 53 96 37 13 5f c4 e9 13 53 96 37 13 |_...S.7._..S.7.| 000000e0: 52 69 63 68 52 96 37 13 00 00 00 00 00 00 |RichR.7..... 00 00

- Footer (8 + x bytes)
 - "Rich" identifier
 - Checksum
 - Zero padding (*presumably* to next multiple of 16)

Header Structure



- Header (4 + 12 bytes)
 - o "DanS"
 - Zero padding (fix!)
- @Comp.id Blocks (n x 8 bytes)
 - n@Comp.id Blocks
- Footer (8 + x bytes)
 - "Rich" identifier
 - Checksum
 - Zero padding (presumably to next multiple of 16)





Structure of @comp.id



Specifies how often the specific **ProdID** and **mCV** were used by the linker

0x105 2015 C++ c2.dll 0x104 2015 C c2.dll 0x103 2015 Assembly c2.dll 0xff 2015 Resource File cvtres 0xb4 2010 C++ c2.dll 0x5e .NET Resource File cvtres 0x15 6 C c2.dll	l l s.exe l s.exe

01





ProdID

1) Generic identifier: Identifies the referenced object type and VS Release

2) Unique identifier: Appears to map to major libraries but exact definition is unknown

Checksum

- Rotate the DOS
 Header bytes by their offset
- Rotate contents of @comp.ids by their count
- Only 37 of the 64 bits per @comp.id are checksummed!

```
## Rotate left helper function
rol32 = lambda v, n: \
        ((v << (n & 0x1f)) & 0xffffffff) | \
        (v >> (32 - (n & 0x1f)))
```

raw_dat is a bytearray containing the exe's data
compids is the list of deciphered @compid structs
off is the offset to the start of the Rich Header
def calc_csum(raw_dat, compids, off):
 csum = off

```
for i in range(off):
    ## skip e_lfanew as it's not initialized yet
    if i in range(0x3c, 0x40):
        continue
csum += rol32(raw_dat[i], i)
```

return csum & 0xfffffff

Insertion of Rich Header

- Back-End Compiler generates one
 @comp.id per object
- Linker collects
 @comp.ids from
 objects and puts
 them into the PE.





Samples with Rich Header Samples without the Rich Header

Statistics

71%

Random

1 million samples. Including packed and obfuscated malware



APT1

298 samples from a popular APT actor



Zeus-Citadel

1928 samples from a popular criminal malware variant

2%

Mediyes

1873 samples from a dropper that contains a valid signature

The Microsoft Linker always adds the Rich Header

No Header:

- .Net
- MinGW
- GCC
- dUP

With Header:

- Visual Studios
- Intel
- UPX*
- ASPack*
- Nullsoft*

* More to come!

So What?



Identifying Suspicious Binaries Similarity Matching Demonstration Discussion



Discrepancies are GREAT!



Corrupt Checksum

Post modified binary



Duplicate Entries

Packing Error



Fast!

Very inexpensive check to perform. Out of 1 million samples, identified 22% were packed

Can we do more?



With only the data in the Rich Header can we create the following:



Fast

Return the results in

near real-time

Similarity Matching

Identify binaries that are similar. Potentially different versions or baked in



Identify binaries that were created under similar build environments

Dimension Reduction

Stacked Autoencoder

Benefits:

- Easier: denser lower-dimensional space
- Efficient: reduced memory requirement





Similarity matching

KNN w/ Ball Tree

Benefits:

- Less pre-processing
- No predefined number of groups
- Fast lookups: 6.73ms Per 2 million



Demo!

Finding similar malware across a million samples

Visualizing the Demo

Top 10 Nearest Neighbor "clusters"



Case Study APT1

Based on SHA256: F737829E9AD9A025945AD9 CE803641677AE0FE3ABF43 B1984A7C8AB994923178

All samples have different AV signatures





Matching Rich Header

Detected 1 sample

1:1 Match Identical functionality Identical code base

Sha256 difference was from compiler artifacts

Nearest Neighbors Detected 3 samples

> **1) Different Build Environment** Library versions were slightly off

2) Different Version Adds function "FlushFileBuffers"

3) Version Upgrade Removes double write by calling strcat

Case Study Zeus

Based on SHA256: 8471A205E1E85080B7230D B19D773D43A559ECA7A4B8 92E64E74C4E7E0A0D3BD

Most samples have a generic AV signature





Matching Rich Header

Detected 23 samples

1:1 Match Identical functionality

Assembly equivalent:

- XOR uses a "do while" versus "for" loop
- Code segments reordered

Nearest Neighbors Detected 4 samples



Different version Identical functionality

XOR algorithm loops >8 times more

Case Study Zeus cont

Based on SHA256: 7F1A07F484A8AE853DB936 4508A7BDFD3718BFA5E311 5AD941B216D0B662A880

Most samples have no signature of generic AV signatures





Matching Rich Header Detected 36,606 samples

1:1 Match Identical functionality A constant value changes

16,123 samples have no AV detection

Nearest Neighbors Detected 1,567 samples

> **Different Build Environment** Identical functionality Library versions were slightly off

511 samples have no AV detection

Validation

Correlation of IDA generated code across 1 million random samples. Using entropy of source code.



06

Where do we go from here Conclusion

Conclusion



Rich Header is valuable for triage but future work remains:

- **ProdID:** What are the true mappings?
- **Checksum:** Why is the checksum designed as it is?
- **Purpose:** What was the original intention, why it is maintained, why is it hidden?
- **Combine:** Individual triage methods can be overcome. We need to combine with other algorithms to reach the full potential

Ripe for Research and Incorporation with Existing Methods!

Releasing The Rich Header Extractor

Apache2 License Docker Service Ready to use with Holmes

holmesprocessing.github.io

```
= 0x536E6144 # 'DanS' as dword
DANS
RICH = 0x68636952 # 'Rich' as dword
    rich data = pe.get data(0x80, 0x80)
    current pos = 0x80+0x80
    if len(rich data) != 0x80:
        return None
    data = list(struct.unpack("<32I", rich data))</pre>
    return None
checksum = data[1]
   (data[0] * checksum != DANS
    or data[2] != checksum
    or data[3] != checksum):
    return None
d['checksum'] = checksum
headervalues = []
headerparsed = []
data = data[4:]
found end = False
while not found end:
    for i in xrange(len(data) // 2):
        if data[2 * i] == RICH:
            found end = True
            if data[2 * i + 1] != checksum:
                print('Rich Header corrupted')
        temp1 = data[2 * i] ^ checksum
        temp2 = data[2 * i + 1] ^ checksum
        headervalues.extend([temp1, temp2])
        headerparsed.append({'id': temp1 >> 16,
                              'version': temp1 & 0xFFFF,
```

```
'times used': temp2})
```



Rich Header is valuable for triage

- **Quick Detection:** Identifies packed and post modified binaries
- **Similarity Matching:** Finds binaries with same functionality
- Build Environment Fingerprinting: Actors?

We need help! Please send us copies of your C2.dll, cvtres.exe, link.exe, and ml.exe

Thank you

- Bojan Kolosnjaji
- Christian von Pentz
- Marcel Schumacher
- Zachary Hanif
- Apostolis Zarras
- Claudia Eckert

George Webster & Julian Kirsch Technical University of Munich Chair for IT Security

holmesprocessing.github.io